



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,386	01/02/2004	Jian-Kang Zhu	247354US20DIV	9333	
22850	7590 01/30/2006		EXAM	INER	
OBLON, SP	IVAK, MCCLELLAND,	BAUM, STUART F			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1638		
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		1	0/749,386	ZHU ET AL.				
			caminer	Art Unit				
		St	uart F. Baum	1638				
	The MAILING DATE of this communi			h the correspondence a	ddress			
Period fo	r Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN IS IS IN STATE OF THE MAN IS IS IN STATE OF THE MAN IS	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a) unication. tutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNIC In no event, however, may a reply and will expire SIX (6) MONT be the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>02 Janu</i> a	ary 2004.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-42 are subject to restriction	on and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	·	Examiner						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object			•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exami	ner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) 🗍	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreian pric	ority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	J	,,	(.) (.) (.) .				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation	•	• • • •					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of th	ne certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachment	(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Su					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F			/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT	⁻ O-152)			
	No(s)/Mail Date	,	6) Other:		·			

Application/Control Number: 10/749,386 Page 2

Art Unit: 1638

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, and 32-35, drawn to an isolated polynucleotide which encodes a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2 or variants thereof, vector, host cell, plant cell, transgenic plant, and method of making a transgenic plant comprising said polynucleotide, classified in class 800, subclass 298 for example.
 - II. Claims 23-26 and 28-29, drawn to a process for screening for polynucleotides which encode a protein having Na/H transporter activity comprising hybridization technology or comprising PCR technology, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3 for example.
 - III. Claim 27, drawn to a method for producing a nucleic acid using a primer, classified in class 536, subclass 24.33 for example.
 - IV. Claims 30-31, drawn to a method of making a protein, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1 for example.
 - V. Claim 36, drawn to a method of increasing the salt tolerance of a plant comprising enhancing the expressing of the SOS1 gene in a plant, classified in class 800, subclass 278 for example.
 - VI. Claims 37-42, drawn to an isolated polypeptide, classified in class 530, subclass 370 for example.

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 10/749,386

Art Unit: 1638

- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- Inventions I and VI are unrelated to each. Applicant is reminded that nucleotide 3. sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another, as are different proteins structurally distinct chemical compounds and unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to normally constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.141 et seq (see MPEP 803.04 and 2434). This requirement is not to be construed as a requirement for an election of species, since each nucleotide and amino acid sequence is not a member of a single genus of invention, but constitutes an independent and patentably distinct invention.
- 4. Inventions I and VI are unrelated because the nucleic acids of Invention I and the proteins of Invention VI differ in composition, structure and function. Furthermore, the proteins of Invention VI could be made by a process other than the plant transformation method of Inventions I, such as chemical synthesis or purification from the natural source. Furthermore, searching the inventions of Groups I together with Group VI would impose a serious search burden. In the instant case, the search of the polypeptides and the polynucleotides are not coextensive. The invention of Groups I has a separate status in the art as compared to the protein of Group VI, as shown by their different classifications. In cases such as this one where descriptive sequence information is provided, the sequences are searched in appropriate databases. There is search burden also in the non-patent literature. Prior to the concomitant isolation and expression of the sequence of interest there may be journal articles devoted solely

Application/Control Number: 10/749,386

Art Unit: 1638

to polypeptides which would not have described the polynucleotide. Similarly, there may have been "classical" genetics papers which had no knowledge of the polypeptide but spoke to the gene. Searching, therefore is not coextensive. In addition, the polypeptide claims include polypeptides having 70% identity to the sequence identified. This search requires an extensive analysis of the art retrieved in a sequence search and will require an in-depth analysis of technical literature. As such, it would be burdensome to search the inventions of Groups I together with Group VI.

- 5. Inventions IV and VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the proteins of Invention VI can be isolated from their source organism.
- 6. Inventions I and VI and Inventions II, and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acid of Invention I can be used for hybridization reactions and the proteins of Invention VI can be used to produce antibodies. The fact of the matter is that the proteins of Invention VI would not be useable in the methods of Inventions II and III.
- 7. Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Art Unit: 1638

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids of Invention I can be used in hybridization reactions.

- Inventions I and VI and Invention V are related as product and process of use. The 8. inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of Invention V can use a nucleic acid molecule encoding an enhancer of SOS1 expression.
- Inventions II-V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are 9. not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are distinct one from the other because the starting material, method steps and end products are distinct one from the other. Examples of divergent method steps are hybridization reactions using probes for the method of Invention II, PCR reactions using primers for the method of Invention III, expression systems for making a protein of Invention IV, and plant transformation and expression vector construction for the method of Invention V.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the literature and sequence searches required for each of the Groups are not required for another of the Groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,386 Page 6

Art Unit: 1638

11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37

CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stuart F. Baum whose telephone number is 571-272-0792. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Anne Marie Grunberg can be reached at 571-272-0975. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

Stuart F. Baum Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1638

January 20, 2006